

# Disease Resistance in Blueberry-Steps toward an Integrated Utilization Approach

M. K. Ehlenfeldt and J. J. Polashock

United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service

Philip E. Marucci Center for Blueberry and Cranberry Research and Extension

Chatsworth, New Jersey 08019

USA

**Keywords:** *Monilinia vaccinii-corymbosii*, *Colletotrichum acutatum*, *Botryosphaeria dothidea*, *Phomopsis vaccinii*, blueberry scorch virus, red ringspot virus

## Abstract

The USDA-ARS and Rutgers University (at Chatsworth, New Jersey) collectively house a significant collection of blueberry cultivated and wild germplasm. This collection of germplasm has been used to evaluate genotypes for resistance to *Monilinia vaccinii-corymbosii* (mummy berry), *Colletotrichum acutatum* (anthracnose), *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (stem blight), *Phomopsis vaccinii* (twig blight), and blueberry scorch virus. These data have been compiled with similar information from other sources to produce a database that can be used for IPM recommendations, cultivar planting recommendations, and for breeding programs. Principal component analysis (PCA) can be used to compare cultivars across multiple diseases to optimize selection options.

## INTRODUCTION

The USDA-ARS at Chatsworth, New Jersey houses the world's largest collection of blueberry cultivars, as potted material and as in-ground plants. These plants have been subjects of numerous studies of disease resistance, plant pathology, morphology, phenology, and fruit quality. A major aspect of the program has been screening cultivars for disease resistance with the aim of identifying sources of resistance in a cultivated background that could be used in breeding. The Marucci Center also houses an extensive collection of *Vaccinium* species germplasm, and parts of this collection have also been evaluated in our program.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Disease resistance screening has been performed generally in one of two ways: either on potted plants with highly supplemented inoculum levels and enhanced environmental conditions or on potted plants using direct graft or wound inoculation. Typically, accessions are tested for a minimum of 2-3 years alongside 'standard' cultivars. Specifics regarding methodology can be found in the cited references of Table 1. The goal of our disease resistance screening in all cases has been to determine the strongest sources of resistance. Thus, it should be recognized that cultivars we identify as mid-range for susceptibility may in fact perform quite well under typical field conditions where inoculum pressure would presumably be lower. A general extrapolation to predict possible field performance of uncommon cultivars could be achieved by comparing their rank versus commonly grown cultivars whose performance under field conditions is well-established.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A brief summary of some of our findings is as follows:

### *Monilinia vaccinii-corymbosi* (mummy berry shoot blight)

Excellent sources of resistance are available in highbush blueberry. Among the more resistant highbush cultivars are Jersey, Duke, Bluejay, and Elliott (Stretch et al., 1995). Among highbush cultivars field resistance appears to result from avoidance and

true resistance (Ehlenfeldt et al., 1996, 1997). Among rabbiteye cultivars, the resistance is much weaker. The better rabbiteye cultivars are Coastal, Delite, Centurion, and Walker, all of which had more than 50% shoot blighting in our tests (Ehlenfeldt and Stretch, 2000). The lowbush cultivars Fundy and Augusta had particularly good resistance (unpublished results). Among *Vaccinium* species, *V. boreale* and *V. myrtilloides* had particularly good resistance (Ehlenfeldt and Stretch, 2001).

#### ***Monilinia vaccinii-corymbosi* (mummy berry fruit infection)**

Highbush cultivars showed a wide range of resistance, but several more resistant cultivars were Reka, Bluejay and Brigitta Blue. Half-high cultivars also appeared to have superior levels of resistance, and this is apparently due to the higher levels of lowbush germplasm found in half-highs. No correlation was found between susceptibility to shoot blight and susceptibility to fruit infection, with  $r = -0.25$  (Stretch and Ehlenfeldt, 2000). Among *Vaccinium* species, *V. boreale*, *V. myrtilloides*, *V. pallidum*, *V. tenellum*, and *V. darrowii* all had excellent levels of resistance to fruit infection (Stretch et al., 2001).

#### ***Colletotrichum acutatum* (anthracnose fruit infection)**

Considerable variation exists for resistance, with little indication of any cultivar possessing very high levels of resistance as seen for mummy berry. Among a variety of cultivars tested, Little Giant, Legacy, Elliott, and Brigitta Blue all had less than 20% infected fruit. No particular cultivar type (lowbush, half-highs, southern highbush, highbush, or rabbiteye) appeared to have greater resistance than the others (Polashock et al., 2005).

#### ***Colletotrichum acutatum* (anthracnose foliar infection)**

Tested with an in vitro leaf disk assay, the cultivars found to have particularly good levels of resistance were Burlington, Sharpblue, Reka, and Berkeley. Cultivars with better levels of resistance to fruit and foliar infection included Sharpblue, Legacy, Little Giant, Elliott, and Blue Ridge. Foliar infection was not correlated with fruit infection ( $r = 0.15$ ) (Ehlenfeldt et al., 2005).

#### ***Botryosphaeria* stem blight**

Resistance to *Botryosphaeria* stem blight was assessed by the length stem lesions after artificial inoculation. For this disease, half-high and lowbush cultivars stood out as being more resistant, in general, than other types of blueberries. Among highbush cultivars, Weymouth was the most resistant. 'Ozarkblue', 'Bluecrop', 'Duke', and 'Blueray' were some of the most susceptible to this pathogen (Polashock and Kramer, 2006).

#### ***Phomopsis* twig blight**

Resistance to *Phomopsis* twig blight was also assessed by length of twig lesions after artificial inoculation, and the results were similar to those for *B. dothidea* in that half-high and lowbush cultivars, in general, tended to be more resistant than other types of blueberries. Cultivars such as Emerald, Powderblue, Legacy, Hannah's Choice and Duke were some of the most susceptible to *P. vaccinii* (Polashock and Kramer, 2006).

#### **Blueberry Scorch virus**

Studies are ongoing, so it is premature to derive any conclusions, but thus far, we have failed to achieve infection by direct grafting in 18 of 96 cultivars. These cultivars are being further evaluated and scrutinized for possible resistance.

#### **Red Ringspot virus**

This study involved evaluation of infection incidence in a selection field with high natural infection pressure and small families with many parents in common across families. *Vaccinium lamarckii* Camp (4x, lowbush type, syn. *V. angustifolium* Ait.), *V.*



*amoenum* Ait. (6x, rabbiteye type; syn. *V. virgatum* Ait.), 'Woodard' (6x), and 'Earliblue' (4x) were judged to be likely to have higher levels of alleles for BRRV resistance based upon infection frequency in progeny families (Ehlenfeldt et al., 1993).

Other literature sources exist that document relative responses to diseases as well as insect feeding (Baker et al., 1995; Compendium of Blueberry and Cranberry Diseases, 1995; Creswell and Milholland, 1987; Milholland, 1982; Nelson and Bittenbender, 1971; Pepin and Toms, 1969; Rooks et al., 1995; Smith, 2004; Smith et al., 1996).

## CONCLUSIONS

The results of multiple resistance screening trials are most useful if put in a user-friendly format. To this end, in 2001 we incorporated the data available into a spreadsheet-based database that would allow the evaluation of resistances from various sources, our own and others. Our dataset is now much richer than in 2001 and we are currently in the process of producing an updated resistance database along with additional information on cultivar phenology, fruit quality, cold hardiness, antioxidants, and other characteristics. Additionally, we have appended to the working version of our database, supporting files that may assist in selecting cultivars for research, breeding, or production. With this information it is possible to use principal component analysis or weighting factors to aid in the selection of breeding parents or to simply assist in selecting cultivars for commercial plantings.

## Literature Cited

- Baker, J.B., Hancock, J.F., and Ramsdell, D.C. 1995. Screening highbush blueberry cultivars for resistance to *Phomopsis* canker. *HortScience* 30: 586-588.
- Bristow, P.R., Martin, R.R., and Windom, G.E. 2000. Transmission, field spread, cultivar response, and impact on yield in highbush blueberry infected with blueberry scorch virus. *Phytopathology* 90:474-479.
- Caruso, F.L. and Ramsdell, D.C. eds. Compendium of Blueberry and Cranberry Diseases. 1995. APS Press. St. Paul, Minn., 87 pp.
- Creswell, T.C. and Milholland, R.D. 1987. Responses of blueberry genotypes to infection by *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. *Plant Dis.* 71: 710-713.
- Ehlenfeldt, M.K., Polashock, J.J., Stretch, A.W. and Kramer, M. 2005. Leaf disk infection by *Colletotrichum acutatum* and its relation to fruit rot in diverse blueberry germplasm. *HortScience* 41:270-271.
- Ehlenfeldt, M.K. and Stretch, A.W. 2000. Mummy berry blight resistance in rabbiteye blueberry cultivars. *HortScience* 35:1326-1328.
- Ehlenfeldt, M.K. and Stretch, A.W. 2001. Resistance to blighting by *Monilinia vaccinii-corymbosi* in diploid and polyploid *Vaccinium* species. *HortScience* 36:955-957.
- Ehlenfeldt, M.K., Stretch, A.W. and Brewster, V. 1996. Genetic and morphological factors influence mummy berry blight resistance in highbush blueberry cultivars. *HortScience* 31:252-254.
- Ehlenfeldt, M.K., Stretch, A.W., and Draper, A.D. 1993. Sources of genetic resistance to red ringspot virus in a breeding population of blueberry. *HortScience* 28:207-208.
- Ehlenfeldt, M.K., Stretch, A.W., and Lehman, J.S. 1997. Shoot length affects susceptibility to mummy berry blight within highbush blueberry cultivars. *HortScience* 32: 884-887.
- Milholland, R.D. 1982. Blueberry twig blight caused by *Phomopsis vaccinii*. *Plant Dis.* 66: 1034-1036.
- Nelson, J. and H.C. Bittenbender. 1971. Mummy berry disease occurrence in a blueberry selection test planting. *Plant Dis. Rptr.* 55:651-653.
- Pepin, H.S. and Toms, H.N.W. 1969. Susceptibility of highbush blueberry varieties to *Monilinia vaccinii-corymbosi*. *Phytopathology* 59:1876-1878.
- Polashock, J.J., Ehlenfeldt, M.K., Stretch, A.W. and Kramer, M. 2005. Anthracnose fruit rot resistance in blueberry cultivars. *Plant Dis.* 89:33-38.
- Polashock, J.J. and Kramer, M. 2006. Resistance of blueberry cultivars to *Botryosphaeria*

- stem blight and *Phomopsis* twig blight. HortScience 41:1457-1461.
- Rooks, S.D., Ballington, J.R., Milholland, R.D., Cline, W.O. and Meyer, J.R. 1995. Inventory of pest resistance in blueberry genotypes in North Carolina. p. 99-110. In: Blueberries: A Century of Research. Haworth Press.
- Smith, B.J. 2004. Susceptibility of southern highbush blueberry cultivars to *Botryosphaeria* stem blight. Small Fruits Rev. 3:193-201.
- Smith, B.J., Magee, J.B. and Gupton, C.L. 1996. Susceptibility of rabbiteye blueberry cultivars to postharvest diseases. Plant Dis. 80:215-218.
- Stretch, A.W., Ehlenfeldt, M.K. and Brewster, V. 1995. Mummy berry blight resistance in highbush blueberry cultivars. HortScience 30:589-591.
- Stretch, A.W. and Ehlenfeldt, M.K. 2000. Resistance to the fruit infection phase of mummy berry disease in highbush blueberry cultivars. HortScience 35:1271-1273.
- Stretch, A.W., Brewster, V., Ehlenfeldt, M.K., Vorsa, N. and Polashock, J. 2001. Resistance of diploid *Vaccinium* species to the fruit rot stage of mummy berry disease. Plant Dis. 85:27-30.

## Tables

Table 1. Blueberry germplasm screened by the USDA-ARS at Chatsworth, New Jersey.

Pathogen	Disease name	Methodology	Material screened	Accessions screened	Reference
<i>Monilinia vaccinii-corymbosi</i>	mummy berry shoot blight	potted plant material, open-air nursery, supplemented inoculum	highbush cultivars (mixed cultivars)	55 (140+) <sup>1</sup>	Stretch et al., 1995
"	"	"	rabbiteye cultivars	26	Ehlenfeldt and Stretch, 2000
"	"	potted plant material, greenhouse, supplemented inoculum	<i>Vaccinium</i> species	31	Ehlenfeldt and Stretch, 2001
"	mummy berry fruit infection	potted plant material, open-air nursery, supplemented inoculum, bee-transferred conidia	highbush cultivars (mixed cultivars)	10 species	Stretch and Ehlenfeldt, 2000
"	"	potted plant material, greenhouse, hand-pollinated and inoculated	<i>Vaccinium</i> species	68 (140+) <sup>1</sup>	Stretch et al., 2001
<i>Colletotrichum acutatum</i>	anthracnose fruit rot	potted plant material, nursery & greenhouse, supplemented inoculum	cultivars – all types	7 species	Polashock, et al., 2005
"	anthracnose foliar infection	leaf disks, applied inoculum	cultivars – all types	140	Stretch et al., 2005
<i>Botryosphaeria dothidea</i>	Botryosphaeria stem blight	potted plant material, greenhouse, wound inoculated	cultivars – all types	149	Ehlenfeldt et al., 2005
<i>Phomopsis vaccinii</i>	Phomopsis twig blight	potted plant material, greenhouse, wound inoculated	cultivars – all types	50	Polashock and Kramer, 2006
Blueberry Red Ringspot virus (BRRV)	Red Ringspot virus	selection field, natural infection	mixed germplasm	50	Polashock and Kramer, 2006
Blueberry Scorch virus (BBSV)	Blueberry Scorch virus	grafts on potted plant material	highbush cultivars	1031	Ehlenfeldt et al., 1993
				96	

<sup>1</sup> First value indicates accessions described in published reference. Bracketed values indicate number of accessions screened to date.